





# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,486	11/09/2001	Takashi Kubota	108384-00032	5691
6449	7590 10/16/2003		EXAMINER	
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			KOPPIKAR, VIVEK D	
1425 K STRE SUITE 800	£1, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20005		1775	

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/926,486	KUBOTA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vivek D Koppikar	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a n y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.5	<u>September 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal ma Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	\$
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-12</u> is/are pending in the application	١.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 November 2001</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ol	pjected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		isapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·		n).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/926,486

Art Unit: 1775

### **FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

1. The finality of the office action mailed June 18, 2003 has been withdrawn in view of the newly set forth rejection below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent Number 5,632,827 to Fujita.

Fujita teaches a composite material with an aluminum (metal) base with a carbon dispersion in the aluminum matrix. In one embodiment carbon is present without the aid of a lubricating phase or any other components. The composite material is in bulk form and the carbon particles are dispersed throughout the matrix (a homogeneous dispersion) (Claim 1).

It is the examiner's position that the composite material of Fujita is identical to or only slightly different than the composite material prepared by the method of the claims, because both composite materials are composed of either a metal or nonmetal as a base material with a dispersion of a metal or nonmetal as a dispersion material. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. *In re* 

Application/Control Number: 09/926,486

Art Unit: 1775

Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). The burden has been shifted to the applicant to show obvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product. *In re Marosi*, 218 USPQ 289 (Fed. Cir. 1983). Fujita anticipates the claimed subject matter. It is noted that if the applicant intends to rely on Examples in the specification or in a submitted declaration to show non-obviousness, the applicant should clearly state how the Examples of the present invention are commensurate in scope with the claims and how the Comparative Examples are commensurate in scope with the Fujita.

### Response to Arguments

4. The finality of the Office Action mailed on June 18, 2003 has been withdrawn in light of the newly set forth prior art rejections. Therefore, applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-12 set forth in Paper No. 15 filed on September 22, 2003 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/926,486

Art Unit: 1775

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Vivek Koppikar** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-6618**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 AM to 5 PM, Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones, can be reached at (703) 308-3822. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding are assigned are (703) 305-7718 for regular communications and (703) 305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Vivek Koppikar

10/6/03

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER